

## Dealing with a complex urban object: planning the covers of transport infrastructures in urban areas.

Joel IDT & Støphanie LEHEIS

Lab Urba Universitø Paris-Est [joel.idt@paris-est.fr](mailto:joel.idt@paris-est.fr) & [stephanie.leheis@gmail.com](mailto:stephanie.leheis@gmail.com)

**Keywords** Covers of transport infrastructures; coordination between transport and urban development; decision making-process.

Our paper focuses on the complexity, in urban planning practices, to coordinate transport systems and urban development. This is the case for transport systems organized around transport infrastructures. Contrary to what we could imagine in a first approach, there is a strong causality between the construction of such infrastructures and urban development in urban territories. We mainly observe an intertwining of causal links, and an interpenetration in the evolution.

This observation has implications for public policy, whether to create new transport systems or to reorganize urban development. Interactions are still structured at one time or another between the fields of public intervention strongly related. Problems should therefore be jointly addressed. In urban planning and urban planning actions differ as much in their challenges as in their implementation. This generates problems of coordination between stakeholders with different backgrounds, sharing neither the same interests nor the same objectives of action. How is it possible in this context?

To highlight the difficulties of coordination, we focused our research on a specific interface between these two fields: urban development projects organized around transport infrastructures covers. There are many reasons to cover a transport infrastructure: to reduce nuisances, to create new available land, or to improve the transport system. Whether in the construction of these projects are always at the junction between construction of the structural object (engineering object); operation of the transport infrastructure (production of a transportation system); and planning of urban development project (creation of new settlements, etc.).

In a first theoretical part, we will explore the complex links between transport systems and urban development, and its consequences on public policies. We will then focus on the empirical part: we will see how they constitute spaces of confrontation and interaction between transport systems and urban planning. We will explain how coordination of collective action between transport systems and urban planning is nevertheless organized in the decision-making process and in the operational part. This research is completed within the framework of an interdisciplinary research program ANR CANOPE (2010-2015) on an organizational analysis of five cover projects in France, Belgium and Germany (IDT & Leheis, 2015): coverage of existing railway lines coming out of the Gare d'Austerlitz in Paris (France); coverage of the Gauche (France); enlargement of part of the railway network around Brussels (Belgium); coverage of urban expressway and the construction of a tram line in Cologne (Germany); burying the A55 highway in Marseille in the Euromediterranøe project (France); and the coverage of a new urban areas (France); the coverage of an expressway section in Gennevilliers (France).

## 1 - The infrastructure, product or motor of territorial transformation?

### 1.1. The chicken or the egg? Infrastructure or territory?

There are many urban researches that theorize the articulation between transport infrastructure and urban development. By creating a very schematic map of the main of them, and in the way that divide the natural sciences (in the study of human-environment report), we can identify the possible theoretical models to consider the links between infrastructure and territory.

The first two take the form of causality in one direction or the other. We can call them "deterministic" (Leheis 2011), in which the object determines its environment. In the first meaning it's the infrastructure that determines the territory. It is the oldest model. The first works that are mainly interested in the effects of infrastructure on territory are the works of economic geography that explain the structuration of the territory by the transport system and travel opportunities, and thus infrastructure (like the model of Isard, Moses) on market areas (Lisch, Hotelling) or land-use (Von Thünen) (see Leheis 2011). In this model, transport infrastructures have a decisive effect on the territorial organization: they constitute one of the main vectors of urbanization and urban development.

This model finds its translation in the operational practices of the actors, and in particular in the transport infrastructure. It is used in particular to support all analyzes based on cost-benefit (or model CBA Cost Benefit Analysis), which seek to justify the investments by calculating direct and indirect effects, monetized or not, of infrastructure on urban phenomena, however, are difficult to understand in this single prism. The controversies around the creation or modification of large infrastructures, when they cross territories crossed by the infrastructure are strongly opposed to the projects. In some cases it is even the inhabitants of urbanized areas with the creation of the infrastructure then are opposed to its expansion.

We have identified a second approach which is described as "evolutionary" (Leheis 2011) and is represented in urban research. In this approach, the environment determines the territory, meaning that the territory transforms the transport infrastructure or network. It is a functional balance of the urban system (the same way that the principle of structural selection would according to some an optimal balance of the medium). This model is very common. We find an application in the article by Luca Bertolini, about the urban development conducted in Amsterdam since the post-war (L. Bertolini, 2007). He shows how urban policies evolved based on changes in the socio-demographic, economical, or land use. To him, we are facing a complex evolutionary system in the sense that: firstly, there are periods of incremental change and radical change; on the other hand, the system evolves and finally, it is possible to identify transitions. The case study highlights the economic and social transformations, and their effects on the urban transport system. Changes have no effect, other times they produce side effects (the principle of evolution: to produce a radical change, which involves the reorganization of the transport system). The urban transport system must be able to endure despite changes, socioeconomically (with a performance criterion). The system must also provide to adapt to changes. It is not in a simple causal relationship: the characteristics and developments of transport infrastructure are determined by urbanization of territories crossed.

This model also finds some translations in the operational practices of the actors. The engineers who make the transport infrastructure seek to size according to the

areas crossed to adapt supply to demand. But the logic of this type lead to le spillover effects on urbanization: the creation or improvement of transport generates new needs due to improved transport times. We can even make the h continuous improvement of a heavy infrastructure system could in some cases lead saturation.

## 1.2. The infrastructure as a technical object: crossed interactions between urban planning

It is possible to identify a third approach, which can be described as "interaction" which the object and its environment (or the transport infrastructure and urban the most recent examples include the work of (Graham and Marvin, 2001) whose n highlighting the link between network evolution and social and physical fragment these authors, the liberalization of infrastructures and development of new te possible new infrastructural landscapes more segmented, leading to differentiat infrastructures. In other words, the network transformation is part of a global technical object and of the social, economic, political, or geographical dynamic the idea that the infrastructure can not be understood without taking into relations with the territory in which it is part (analyzing these relations unde angle the network).

We find the foundations of this interactionist model in the theory of science literature called STS (Science and Technology Studies or Science Technology and relates the evolution of the society with technical developments. At the origin the work of history and sociology of science and technics from Thomas Kuhn or (Bijker, Hughes & Pinch, 1987). These works highlight the multiplicity of dia (from symbiosis to break) between techniques and societies that produce them. T transformation process, they highlight the tensions and resistance forms bet evolution.

The STS approach is dominated by three major trends, highlighted by (Bijker, Hug In the "social constructivist" approach based on the sociology of scientific kno to consider that the technical objects can be analyzed as social objects, t sociology may therefore apply to it. The Tomas P. Hughes approach then conside system, that is to say a combination of material elements but also representa Finally, the approach of Michel Callon, Bruno Latour and John Law, at the Ecole which technical and social-between is defined by combination or interconnectio humans (of where the use of the network concept), is better known under the t network. Without repeating the details of these different approaches, simply ret lead out of a vision in which technical objects are considered a given. At the the technical object is defined as a "socio-technical compromise." These app relationships "environment" within which the technical object evolve. They were first time in the work of Gilbert Simondon (Simondon, 1958) with key concepts "associated environment", which allow him to describe an interactive process transformation and evolution of technical object (Akrich, 1993).

The STS literature is particularly suited to analyzing the relationship between and urban development, transport infrastructure is seen as a socio-technical ob transformations can not be understood without taking into account its interac system, but also with urban territories in which it is inserted. This third

emphasizes crossed causal links between transport infrastructure and urban area considered as a social construct contributing to change their environment and in

This theoretical approach has consequences for public policy thinking around tr It is not possible to simply describe the process of transport infrastructure p only as responses to urban transport demand, or only through their expected effe crossed. The action takes place in fact in an environment where the infrastruc territories crossed evolve jointly. Any new production or infrastructure change the interaction between transport and urban development, and therefore in t dissociated logics of action. This situation forced collective action and coordination problems between the protagonists acting at the intersection of representations, or the calendars of both public intervention fields.

This is particularly true in the case of infrastructure coverage projects. A co fact at the interface between transport and urban development. It is part of th the transport infrastructure, particularly in urban areas where it limits the b road or railway. At the same time, the cover is also an urban object, which tak urban renewal operations for example, by bringing a new urban ground. Covera interface between urban planning and transport. It crystallizes, more than o interaction between territory and infrastructure.

## 2 - The transport infrastructure covers: urban objects at t between development and transportation

### 2.1. Interactions with the transportation field

Covers projects are a key issue in urban transport infrastructure planning. Th structured between the construction of the cover on the one hand, and management or mod the covered rail or road infrastructure. They take different forms.

a/ The coverage project may itself result from global modifications of the tran cover is then one of the elements necessary for a larger project related to covered. This is the case for the Brussels RER, where the few railways cover firstly to facilitate technically network extension (putting four-way lines), a acceptance of the works in some cities that might oppose it, by offering sensibl noise and improve crossing the tracks. This is the case of Kombilosung in K burying of KriegStrasse helps to report one part of the trafic from the tram surface and reduce the congestion. This is also the case for Paris Rive Gauche though a the coverage of railroads is mainly induced by the urban development project, realization of a new RER station and metro line 14. In these examples, the fi infrastructure is integrated within the transport project: it would not have bee

b/ Even in cases where coverage is not the primary objective in a transportat object can nevertheless interact with the challenges of transport when its reali potential or actual - on projects transport current or future related to the i EuromØditerranØe and Gennevilliers, the covers of motorway lanes create tun extremely strong regulatory constraints in terms of security, and thus in c infrastructure. In Paris Rive Gauche, coverage of railroads necessary to neighborhood area involves a reduction in the number of tracks, which has impl the Gare d'Austerlitz operation as it exists today, but also on future opportuni therefore, on potential changes in the rail network.

These interactions also take the form of strong constraints generated by the realization of coverage. A major constraint is that the infrastructure remains coverage works, as is the case in Paris Rive Gauche or Brussels for the rail Karlsruhe and for Gennevilliers motorway routes. The complexity that it generates in terms of costs and therefore feasibility and programming surface projects. generates constraints on the possible structural supports of the cover, as in P detailed plan of structural supports was imposed by the infrastructure manager project.

c/ The cover opens possibilities to reorganize the surface mobility. The covers surface rail or roadlinks. In Paris Rive Gauche, this will physically connect the existing city. For Euroméditerranée, coverage of coastal highway creates new parts of the new districts, and more largely the city of Marseille, to the sea. In Karlsruhe, Kriegstrasse, an highway road that currently separates the station area from the city significantly improve urban connections between these two parts of the city. coverage RN315 is the opportunity to get a tram to the surface.

In the same way, covering operations offer opportunities to organize connection and infrastructure. These connections are typically associated with changes in urban conditions, for example in the case of railways creating new stations or modifying reorganize traffic. We have already mentioned the case of the new RER station in the district of Paris Rive Gauche, which is essential in its service by public transport. conditions to implement the new stop and create new exits for travelers, etc. They are organized mostly around a redevelopment of railway stations. Additional ground cover is used in particular to organize intermodality with buses and cars.

## 2.2. Interactions with the urban planning field

Similarly, covers projects are at the heart of urban development issues in the city. Therefore, interactions between projects are structured to surrounding development and the construction of the cover on the other hand. They take also different forms.

a/ The covering project can be part of larger projects development of an urban area. one of the elements necessary for the overall development project. This is the case in Paris Rive Gauche where coverage of railways in the Gare d'Austerlitz, as we have seen, connects the district to the rest of the district, which is well connected to banks of the Seine. new facilities in Marseille Euroméditerranée district has its immediate access to the city is made possible by the covering of the coastal highway: the project leader is the reconquest of the waterfront. The case of Kriegstrasse coverage in Karlsruhe is part of the project of "Kombi solution" (combined solution) by the people in a referendum. indeed that it is both a transport project and a project urban development: coverage is a central element in the redevelopment of downtown and in the development of the city attractiveness. In all these projects, the main stakeholders involved in the project are seen as an essential element to achieve the urban development objectives. Consequently, coverage based mainly on the overall development project.

b/ Even if the cover objective is to improve transport infrastructure, urban development issues are still often added. Under the Brussels RER, although the covers are designed as four-way lines extension, urban development issues were quickly raised, especially by municipalities and local authorities. The cover of the RN315 in Gennevilliers

reducing pollution generated by the infrastructure, but the surface was then spaces.

c/ The cover opens up possibilities for urban development on the surface above covers are creating developable land. In Paris Rive Gauche, volumes of slabs are who construct housing or offices buildings. The proceeds helps to fund the cover creates public facilities and public spaces on the slab. In Marseille, it is realized on the cover, above the coastal highway. In Brussels, the land created stations, a garden, shops, a sports field, parking, etc. And the new surface constraints on the cover. A major constraint is due to leveling of the above, organizing traffic and roadway surface and thus determines the thickness of the Gauche, leveling the Avenue de France, main avenue crossing the new district and the maximum height of all other adjacent covers. This setting led by unusual pl solutions in order to cover: the developer carries out hedging office buildings to carry out intermediate cover slab. Another constraint is expressed in terms the cover, which must be sufficient to support arrangements from the surface, mo to buildings like the Left Bank in Paris or some covers of RER Brussels.

The cover also allows to open new development opportunities for the land on infrastructure, by reducing pollution - actual or potential - related to infr example. Burial of the coastal highway in Marseille facilitates spatial Eurom open the new area to the sea. In Paris Left Bank, the creation of the new RER t 14 on the cover railways ensures an essential public transit service to urban district.

### 2.3. Coverage in the heart of the interdependencies between transport planning

An infrastructure coverage is, as we see, an urban object interacts with both infrastructure and the development of the surrounding urban areas. It plays an technical object between transport and urban planning at the interface between these two fields of public intervention between which it organizes interd interdependencies are structured in several dimensions.

a/ The first physical interdependencies are: formal and functional characteristics linked to those of the transport infrastructure as well as those of surf surroundings. Its leveling, width, length or location of support points, are c and functional characteristics of the existing infrastructure and urban develop the characteristics of future projects. For example, the locations of the suppo Paris Rive Gauche are determined by the locations of the existing track and structures capable of supporting buildings. But the covers of support points wi possible developments of the infrastructure (they limit the number of tracks it and developments in surface (the supports are designed for specific building difficult to change once the realized coverage). Note transitivity of these leveling of the infrastructure will constrain including the height of the cover, the leveling of the surface. Leveling the Avenue de France in Paris Rive Gauche is dete height of the covererage that is determined by the leveling of tracks. But now t completed, its leveling determines those of all future covers added or related.

b/ Interdependencies are structured between the actors involved in collective a those who realize the coverage, those managing the infrastructure and those wh

development in surface. Given the physical interdependencies between what they do and each of them has consequences for those of others. It is also common that some need the others to achieve their own projects. The developer who wants to build in Paris must do that if the developer has covered the tracks beforehand to create a new RER. Conversely, the developer needs sponsors because the coverage is at least partially on private land to build, and construction above the railway also constitutes one of the key elements of the project.

These interdependencies between actors can be analyzed in terms of resources. In the first place, for the Brussels RER, many covers are funded under the overall envelope of the project. None of them could have been funded otherwise. The actors that take care of the facilities - mainly municipalities - are therefore dependent in this respect on the RER project. In general, infrastructure covers rarely find their financial balance in the transportation or development. The resources of the Paris Rive Gauche project are the railway operators that hold most of the developable land, either on the surface or in the underground. The developer has to deal with the logic specific to these key players, logic of the functioning of the infrastructure to cover land valuation. Resources can then be mobilized through the Railway operators that carry the RER project should thus deal with the town planning of the infrastructure in the three Belgian regions: municipalities have indeed the ability to intervene in four way up the planning permit operations this is likely to cause substantial delays. The railway is with this in mind a key argument promoting local acceptance of the project and the approval of permits.

c/ Finally, interdependencies exist between transport, urban and coverage projects that are intrinsically linked from a temporal point of view. The achievement of urban development and transport intervene only once the constructed coverage. Some items can be blocking. For the RER in Brussels, as the upgrade to four tracks has not been carried across the network, it cannot be operated. Now the works to four tracks of the railway infrastructure require major investments and works covering in a few specific areas of the network because these works are essential for the tax base on rails in particularly constrained contexts. Other elements may intervene in the schedule. At the start of Paris Rive Gauche project, the first commercial offices were determinant to trigger the realization of the Avenue de France which was necessary for the service of these offices. The Avenue de France from above the tracks, it was necessary for the first Infrastructure covers. This example also shows that interdependencies intervene in the project process, from design and implementation phases of the various elements. The Avenue de France realized, his leveling was then a major constraint to the other covers and the surface facilities. Moreover, the first covers railway lead the actors to question the possibility to condemn to build the supports of the future covers. This led them to the role of "manager" directing the future of the entire rail network output from the Gare d'Orly on account of future rail projects.

### 3 - Coordinating collective action in circumstances of strong interdependences between transports and urban development

As we can see, the cover projects are intrinsically linked to the field of transport and urban planning, two interrelated fields of action but whose logics and action frameworks are different. This situation raises questions from the perspective of collective action: how driving these projects can coordinate and manage these interdependencies? How

action at the interface between the two fields? We have identified four types to characterize how the action is organized.

### 3.1. The unthought or unmastered coordination

One might think that collective action goes with the coordination between parties. It shows many situations where collective action is not or poorly organized, and the coordination between the different elements of the system is little or no thought. The street and transport and those related to urban development are disjointed: the actors act without seeking to establish a dialogue. The question of coordination between parties arises, at least not a priori. Although there are interdependencies between objects, they are disconnected. The lack of coordination is particularly strong in cases where one of the two fields, either transports (eg expanding the infrastructure or new projects) or urban planning. The action is then little linked with the other field. In Gennevilliers, where infrastructure is primarily intended to cover the accepted project supported by the State towards local authorities. Urban development does not meet the objective for anyone, and are postponed.

The lack of coordination in the decision process is most of time unfavourable to surface developments, which generally come last, once the infrastructure and coverage is achieved. In the case of the creation of the Littoral Boulevard in the Euromediterranée project in Gennevilliers, the actor responsible for public spaces only starts once the cover slab (made by the main contractor for the infrastructure) is achieved. The cover has structurally « always one step ahead of the surface development, which restricts the possibilities for coordination of the action. This is also the case with the RER in Brussels, where most of the covers needed for the infrastructure to four tracks are sized and sometimes fulfilled well before the actors have a precise idea of their expectations about surface urban developments.

In this configuration, everything related to the other field of action is postponed a posteriori, being the adjustment variable. For Euromediterranée where burying the tracks affects the whole urban project with the creation of the Boulevard du Littoral, the project results in many surface growths that become constraints to manage and integrate with the surface facilities. The actors of urban development, who are coming in last, have to "make do."

### 3.2. The organizational devices for coordination

In order to manage projects, stakeholders are building organizational devices to structure coordination between transport and urban planning.

We first find contractual devices: partnership agreements, memoranda of understanding, etc. The regulation of collective action between transport infrastructure and urban development goes on here by a formal clarification of the roles, skills and responsibilities. In the case of Paris Rive Gauche thus precise the division of responsibilities between the rail operators, which are also owners of the land above and around the tracks. In other cases, it specifies a priori what refers to urban development and what refers to transport. It also defines opportunities for actors of the two fields: rail operators take on selling land to urban developers in exchange to follow the requirements of rail operators to enable their business. Such theoretical division of roles is to define each responsibilities clearly, but it actually often leaves a place to blur, interpretations of rules and games with the actors. An example of the question of the division of land properties, mostly treated after the fact.



and not specified in the contracts. At Gennevilliers, property lines had to be after the project: the use and management of lands do not necessarily go to plan realization.

Coordination tools can also be hierarchical, and refer to the construction intermediary to the various elements of the system. Their action covers either infrastructure, its coverage and surface facilities. The same formal organization of action. It manages and pilots several elements of the overall project: both the cover (MPM for Euroméditerranée, DIRIF in Gennevilliers, KASIG Karlsruhe); both infrastructure and surface facilities (SEMAPA in Paris Rive Gauche); or even infrastructure, surface facilities at the same time (TUC Rail Brussels RER). In this situation, the coordination is internally to the structure, between staff of the different services. Some coordination structures can be created for the occasion (SEMAPA in Paris Rive Gauche, EPA in Karlsruhe, the KASIG in Karlsruhe). All of them are explicitly entrusted with a mission to coordinate project issues between those related to transport infrastructures and those related to development. This mission for instance involves piloting preliminary studies that coordinate the overall project and build the beginnings of the division of roles. The intermediate structures also have a role to boost the project or to push its progress. In Karlsruhe, the intermediate structure of the tramway project in the planned time frames. According to the project management calendar at best despite the difficulties is one of the main prerogatives of the intermediate structure can finally consist in solving all the technical discussions (including the antagonisms between transport and planning) arising between stakeholders.

However, coordination difficulties in collective action between issues of transport infrastructure and development are relatively similar whether there is or not an intermediate structure. The project shows that the responsibility of a stakeholder in coordinating matters can give him the possibility to force the action of others. Each project owner knows its interests, its terms of action and its own temporalities. Thus, far from defining a stable relationship between the protagonists, these devices work more as common frameworks in which they play, and that they contribute simultaneously to change.

### 3.3. Coordination through the technical design

When the temporalities of project processes overlap between infrastructure, transport and development project, coordination can go through the design work of these technical elements that interact one with each other. The actors discuss and negotiate the characteristics of the cover slab. In other words, the coordination of action supposes to agree and to modify the characteristics of the cover slab so that they are compatible. The cover slab is at the intersection of transport infrastructure and development: its characteristics (the fact to cover or not, the length of the cover, its leveling, its lift, etc.) are often taken as adjustment variables between above-ground infrastructure and development. The debates and are the subject of socio-technical compromises. In all our cases, the cover slab has at one time or another been discussed at a political level, either by the elected representatives of partner institutions to stabilize funding of the project or by the controversies on whether or not to cover (Karlsruhe and Brussels), on the length of the cover (Karlsruhe, Paris Rive Gauche, Brussels), on its positioning, etc. We can see that the political renegotiation of cover characteristics punctuates projects, and can be a key element of the project process.

The length of coverage is one of the main adjustment variables between the infrastructure and those related to surface development. Discussions can also

interfaces between the slab, the surface and the infrastructure. Connections between the end of the tunnels created, infrastructure emergences to the surface, etc.) and their positioning in space, and their technical and architectural treatment, require a close coordination between the logics of the transport infrastructure and those of urban development.

The difficulty of reconciling the challenges and expectations can push the actors towards the technical or in the organizational innovation. Coordination then comes from the search for solutions, new objects, new features, which allow to take all requirements into account, especially the case when heavy technical constraints prevent collective action. Actors can find solutions by modifying the design of the cover slab. The games with the tunnels, extremely demanding in terms of safety features, are particularly indicative of the case of the second phase of Euromediterrannøe, the proposed solution largely imposed by the tunnel laws (most binding as soon as the tunnel is long and complex). A new form of partial coverage open hillside. Technical innovation can also be found in innovations in the organization of the steering action. This is the case in the "bridge building" that doesn't need any slab cover : the building itself is covered and so there is a single project owner for all.

### 3.4. Coordination through constraints

The last mode of coordination is what can be called coordination through constraints in the very strong interdependency situations put the stakeholders in project development constrained by transportation infrastructure and urban development issues. It is the constraints. These systems of very strong rules, which apply to everyone, are only at the margin. In defining what is feasible drastically or not, they frame the game, reduce uncertainty and stabilize the game. They thus contribute to the coordination. The search for solutions to constraints then constitutes a large part of the project activity of the actors. The structures and their logics of action: actors that drive the cover projects very often identify and adapt to constraints.

Some of these constraints, as we have seen, are related to the contractual and legal framework in which collective action is organized. Others refer to technical rationality, just as they are negotiable. For example, security constraints for the sites over the railways and the various constraints tend to cumulate on the same situation of action. This is the case of the Gauche project : constraints on the construction of the slab come both from the requirements of the slab, than from the infrastructure (the release of rail volumes) and from the requirements of the city and elected representatives). These situations are often at the heart of discussions on the management of projects, as they are constraints may challenge them. Technical discussions on the implementation are particularly strong in the negotiations between stakeholders and in the development of projects. The difficulty is to enable the execution of works despite all the difficulties. In the case of Gauche, the accumulation of constraints led to the proposal of complex, costly work methodologies, which commit the project feasibility. These questions of coordination (technical, phasing, workflow, ) often tend to invest the political sphere and delimitate the space.

However, the constraints are not completely fixed. The stakeholders have leeway in the constraints fields that organize collective action, and that seem to impose on them. By phasing, by playing on programs or on steering structures, they manage to change them. To do so, players first can change the content of the projects, and so the

interdependencies. In Karlsruhe, the fact of linking the rail project and the urban development within a single project enabled to build a coalition for the action, which addressed both transportation and urban development issues. This evolution allowed the both to

Whatever the configuration might be, the stakeholders who design a part of the transportation or a part of the urban development regularly have to choose between fixing constraints or the following (including them), or instead let up options open for those who choose. It is then to find when it becomes appropriate to define constraints that will freeze the configuration. In doing too much ahead, there is a risk to confront the following to problems not anticipated, and which one must then manage the consequences. Conversely, to anticipate problems before freezing constraints is limited by the uncertainty in the environment. Contexts can evolve even more than the action takes place over very long

## Conclusion

We highlighted how the dynamics of interactions between transportation infrastructure and urban development do materialize on any public action at the interface between these two domains. We have analyzed transportation infrastructure covers as objects at the junction of urban development. We have shown that they were in the heart of interdependencies between the infrastructure and the development of the surface. By questioning the modalities of interaction between stakeholders from below and from above, we could then highlight the existence of organized forms of cooperation, and test their limits.

It follows from this analysis a reflection track to question the practices of urban development, to coordinate, and with which tools, to design coherent projects which combine specific particular transportation and urban planning? With the particular case of infrastructure, we see that highly structured forms of cooperation, organized from regulatory and technical constraints, show their limits in the implementation and in the way stakeholders seize them. Urban development collective action is structured in large part at a technical level: coordination between urban development requires a particular capacity of operational stakeholders (engineers and in transportation) to test the limits of their practices, and to reconcile urban development technical constraints.

## Bibliography

Akrich, M. (1993). Les formes de la médiation technique. *Réseaux* (60), 87–98.

Bertolini, L. (2007). Evolutionary urban transportation planning: an exploration. *Environment and Planning A* (39), 1998–2019.

Bijker, W. E., Hughes, T. P., & Pinch, T. (1987). *The social construction of technological change: directions in the sociology and history of technology*. Cambridge: The MIT Press.

Graham, S., & Marvin, S. (2001). *Splintering urbanism: networked infrastructures, technology and urban condition*. London and New York: Routledge.

Idt, J. & Leheis S. (2015), Rapport final du projet ANR Canope. Tache 3 : analyse des mo  
projets de couvertures d infrastructures, rapport de recherche pour l Agence Nationale d  
fran aise, Paris.

Klein, O (2001). Les horizons de la grande vitesse : Le TGV, une innovation lue traver  
Øpoque. Economies and finances. UniversitØ LumiŁre - Lyon II.

Leheis, S. (2011) La ville et sa rocade. Un projet d infrastructure au risque du temps l  
ThŁse de doctorat. UniversitØ Paris-Est.

Simondon, G. (1958). Du mode d'existence des objets techniques (Ød. rØØditØ 1989). Paris